(3)

of 2003

BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILAPSUR (C.G.)

W.P. No. 599 /2003

Petitioner :

1. RAJESH KUMAR SHUKLA,
S/o Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla,
aged about 38 years,
B.Sc. (Maths), Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Higher Secondary School,
village : Mohla, Tahsil : Mohla,
Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

2. LACHCHHIRAM DEWANGAN,
S/o Shri Kesho Ram Dewangan,
aged about 35 yeras,
B.Sc. (Biology),
Assistant Teacher (Biology),
Govt. Higher Secondary School,
village : Manpur,
Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

VERSUS

Respondents :

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH,
Through : Secretary,
Schedule Tribe Development
Department, Govt. of C.G.,
Mantralaya, D.K. Bhawan,
G.E. Road, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Commissioner/Director,
Tribal Welfare Department,
Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Mantralaya, D.K. Bhawan,

W. R. No. 1508/03
Presented by Shri M.N. Tamaskar
dated 10/02/03

-2- (9)

3. ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR,
Scheduled Caste, Scheduled Tribe, Back-ward class and Minority Community Welfare Department, Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G.)

4. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
Scheduled Tribes Development Govt of Chhattisgarh, Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

5. SHRI ANIL KUMAR PANDHRE
(Science Assistant Teacher)
Upper Division Teacher
Govt. High School, Khadgaon,
Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(27)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 599/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

26/4/2003

Shri V.G. Tamsakar, counsel for the petitioners.
State by Shri Praveen Das, Panel Lawyer.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the promotion has been given to respondent no. 5 whereas the same has been denied to the petitioner.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will serve if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation along-with a copy of this order to the competent authority within fifteen days from today and on such representation being filed the competent authority shall decide the same by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law within two months from the date of production of representation. If any adverse order is passed and the petitioner thereby feels aggrieved, he may file the petition afresh challenging the said order. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. and I.A., if any, stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये